UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

United States of America,) Case No. CR 13- MJ-71331 MAG
Plaintiff, v.) Case No. CR 13- MJ- 71331 MAG) 12- Cr - 272- ORL) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME) UNDER THE SPEEDY TOTAL ACT
Linda Deaverz Defendant.	NORTHERN US OF COLING
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on 11/6/13, 2013, the Court excludes time under the Speedy Trial Act from 11/6/13, 2013 to 11/14/15, 2013 and finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The Court makes this finding and bases this continuance on the following factor(s):	
Failure to grant a continuance we See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i)	ould be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of to or law, that it is unreasonable to	aplex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial plished by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	ould deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, of due diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	ould unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given ommitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
	ould unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time on, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
DATED: $11/7/13$	IACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: Ole all Managements Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney